

**MINUTES OF THE SCHOOLS' FORUM
HELD on 21st OCTOBER 2025
VIRTUAL MS TEAMS MEETING
(10:00AM TO 12:00PM)**

| Present | Maintained Primary Representative |
|---|--|
| Scott Halliwell (SH) (Co Chair) | HT, Southwood Primary School |
| Jamie Bell (JB) | HT, Warren Juniors School |
| Gill Massar (GM) | HT, William Bellamy Primary School |
| Richard November (RN) | HT, Valence Primary School |
| Simon Abeledo (SA) | HT, Rush Green Primary School |
| Lauren Pearce (LP) | HT, Parsloes Primary School |
| Sara Rider (SR) | HT, Monteagle Primary School |
| Maintained Secondary Representatives | |
| Tony Roe (TR) | HT, Barking Abbey School |
| Lisa Keane (LK) | HT, Jo Richardson Community School |
| Maintained All-through (A/T) | |
| Russell Taylor | HT, Robert Clack School |
| Governor Representatives (1 Pri & 1 Sec) | |
| Vacant | |
| Vacant | |
| Academy & Free Primary | |
| Sarah Kinnaird (SK) | HT, Goresbrook Primary School |
| Academy & Free Secondary | |
| Andy Roberts (AR) | HT, Riverside School |
| Academy & Free Schools (A/T) | |
| Roger Leighton (RL) | Chief Executive, Partnership Learning |
| Maintained Special Schools Representative | |
| Jo Long (JL) | HT, Trinity School |
| Maintained PRU Representative | |
| Cathy Stygal (CS) | HT, Mayesbrook Park School |
| Early Years Representative | |
| John Trow-Smith (JTS) | Early Years, Local Authority |
| Trade Union Representative (shared role) | |
| Dominic Byrne (DB) | NUT |
| John McGill (JMcG) | NASUWT |
| Church of England Representative | |
| David Huntingford (DH) | HT, William Ford C of E Junior School |
| Catholic Representative | |
| Clare Cantle | HT, All Saints Catholic School |
| 14-19 Representative | |
| Natalie Davison | Principal, BDC |

Also present: Jane Hargreaves (JH) – Commissioning Director, Education; Jessica McQuaid (JMcQ) - Head of School Performance and Partnerships Councillor Elizabeth Kangethe (CEK) – Cabinet Member for Educational Attainment and School Improvement; Kofi Adu (KA) – Head of Finance, Local Authority Finance; Florence Fadahunsi (FF) – Senior Finance Business Partner, Local Authority Finance; Gurmit Kaur (GKa) – Schools Senior Accountant, Local Authority Finance; Ronan Fox (RF), John McGill (JMcG) – NASUWT, Dominic Byrne (DB) – NUT, ~~Rikke Damsgaard (RD) – Strategic Lead for Early Years and Childcare~~; Clare Cross (CC) - Sydney Russell School, Simon Abeledo (SA) - Rush Green School, Tracey Whittington (TW) Beam County Primary; Mairead Pryor (MP) Furze Infants; ~~James Smith (JS) – Thames View Junior School~~, Holly Wolf (HW) Mayesbrook Park: Bei Braithwaite-Cotton (BBC) – Local Authority Minute Clerk.

Scott Halliwell chaired the meeting

| 1.0 | <u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</u> John Trow-Smith | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|---------|---|-------|----|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|---|----------|------|---|-------|
| 2.0 | <u>DECLARATION OF INTEREST</u> None | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.0 | <u>MINUTES AND MATTERS ARISING</u> The minutes of the meeting held on June 2025 were confirmed as accurate. Update on previous actions: <table border="1" data-bbox="195 1098 1452 1562"> <thead> <tr> <th>No</th> <th>Date of Meeting</th> <th>Item No</th> <th>Action</th> <th>Owner</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>24/06/25</td> <td>10.2</td> <td>Arrange a meeting with Andy Carr to discuss the strategic plan for school spaces and provide updates to primary and secondary heads. (Roger, Scott) Update: Contact has been made, and Andy has attended the primary heads meeting recently. JH recommended sharing the link to October cabinet report, which contains updated strategy and plans, to ensure members are informed. See below: School Places Update Report – Cabinet Report</td> <td>RL/SH</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | | | No | Date of Meeting | Item No | Action | Owner | 1 | 24/06/25 | 10.2 | Arrange a meeting with Andy Carr to discuss the strategic plan for school spaces and provide updates to primary and secondary heads. (Roger, Scott) Update: Contact has been made, and Andy has attended the primary heads meeting recently. JH recommended sharing the link to October cabinet report, which contains updated strategy and plans, to ensure members are informed. See below: School Places Update Report – Cabinet Report | RL/SH |
| No | Date of Meeting | Item No | Action | Owner | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 24/06/25 | 10.2 | Arrange a meeting with Andy Carr to discuss the strategic plan for school spaces and provide updates to primary and secondary heads. (Roger, Scott) Update: Contact has been made, and Andy has attended the primary heads meeting recently. JH recommended sharing the link to October cabinet report, which contains updated strategy and plans, to ensure members are informed. See below: School Places Update Report – Cabinet Report | RL/SH | | | | | | | | | | |
| | REPORT FROM HEAD OF FINANCE/GROUP FINANCE MANAGER | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Update on Schools, Central, High Needs and EY DSG Blocks Allocations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1 | The initial allocation of the 2025/26 Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG), published in December 2024, this was revised in March 2025 and LBBD was awarded a total of £307m across four blocks (excluding academy recoupment). This allocation has since been revised again with the latest update released in July 2025. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

4.2 The updated figures are summarised in the table below.

| 2025/26 DSG allocation (Mar 2025) | | | | 2025/26 DSG allocation (July 2025) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Before recoup't £000's (a) | Less recoup't & Rates £000's (b) | Net £000's (c) = (a) - (b) | Before recoup't £000's (d) | Less recoup't & Rates £000's (e) | Net £000's (f) = (d) - (e) | Movement (g) = (f) - (c) |
| Schools | 299,589 | 86,875 | 212,714 | 299,589 | 86,875 | 212,714 | 0 |
| Central Block | 2,237 | 0 | 2,237 | 2,237 | 0 | 2,237 | 0 |
| High Needs | 61,919 | 5,250 | 56,669 | 62,245 | 5,238 | 57,007 | 337 |
| Early Years | 35,717 | 0 | 35,717 | 34,910 | 0 | 34,910 | (807) |
| | 399,462 | 92,125 | 307,337 | 398,981 | 92,114 | 306,867 | (470) |

Table - Updated DSG Grant Allocation for 2025/26 (in £'000s)

4.3 There has been an increase of £337k in the High needs funding. This adjustment reflects changes due to recoupment and net import & export adjustments across special free schools.

4.4 The Early Years block has been reduced by £807k. This change is the net decrease of £985k due to lower uptake hours across all the funding streams and an increase of £177k in the Early Years pupil premium allocation for 3- and 4-year-olds.

Recommendation: School Forum are asked to:

Note the DSG funding for 2025/26

All noted. No action required from the schools' forum at this time.

5 DSG projected outturn – 2025/26

5.1 The table below presents the projected DSG outturn for 2025/26. The schools block, early Years block, and central schools services block are expected to achieve a breakeven position by year-end. In contrast, the High Needs block is forecasting an overspend of £3.016m.

5.2 The DSG reserve reported in June was £547k, based on an anticipated clawback of £920k from the Early Years block. However, the Department for Education (DfE) has now confirmed a clawback of only £309k. As a result, the difference of £611k has been added to the reserve balance resulting in £1.158m carry forward.

5.3 Based on 2.2 the DSG reserve brought forward from 2024/25 is £1.158m. The net overspend on the DSG is £3.016m from the pressures in the High Needs block. The projected reserve for the current financial year is a deficit of £1.858m.

| | 2025/26 DSG Funding £'000 | 2025/26 Projected Outturn Sept 2025 £'000 | Surplus / (Deficit) £'000 |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Schools Block (ISB) | 212,714 | 212,714 | 0 |
| Central Services Block | 2,237 | 2,237 | 0 |
| High Needs Block | 57,007 | 60,023 | (3,016) |
| Early Years Block | 34,910 | 34,910 | 0 |
| | 306,867 | 309,883 | (3,016) |
| DSG reserves B/f Surplus/(Deficit) * | 1,158 | | 1,158 |
| Revised DSG Carried forward-Surplus/(Deficit) | | | (1,858) |

Table - DSG outturn 2025/26

Recommendation (ii): School Forum is requested to:

Note the DSG outturn position for 2025/26

All noted. No action required from the schools' forum at this time.

Comments

SH & KA discussed that the majority of local authorities are in high needs deficit, with over 80% affected, and benchmarking data will be shared with the high needs working group after half term.

SH & KA noted that while capital investment is increasing, there is insufficient revenue funding to run new provisions, and the lag in funding for increasing needs remains a significant concern.

6 Update on 2025/26 Projected High Needs (HNB) Outturn & Savings Proposals

| 6.1 | 2025-26 Update on High Needs and Projected Outturn | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | High Needs Budget and Outturn | 2023/24 Outturn £ | 2024/25 Outturn £ | 2025/26 Budget £ | 2025/26 Outturn Forecast £ | Variance +Surplus (Deficit) £ |
| Alternative Provision (excl PRU) | 3,369,139 | 4,145,018 | 4,083,002 | 4,383,002 | (300,000) | |
| ARP Funding | 9,789,848 | 10,001,189 | 10,276,640 | 10,730,960 | (454,320) | |
| Education Inclusion | 1,945,955 | 1,866,872 | 2,392,321 | 2,392,321 | 0 | |
| SEN - Non maintained | 5,501,905 | 3,901,597 | 3,993,776 | 3,993,776 | 0 | |
| High Needs Top Ups - OB | 2,661,046 | 3,109,263 | 2,939,727 | 2,939,727 | 0 | |
| SEND (Commissioned Services) | 1,369,200 | 2,045,491 | 1,016,317 | 1,016,317 | 0 | |
| Legal fees & CiC Residential Education | 839,121 | 1,219,200 | 1,460,850 | 1,460,850 | 0 | |
| HN Top Ups - Post 16 | 2,664,888 | 3,977,999 | 4,055,150 | 4,055,150 | 0 | |
| Mainstream Top Ups | 5,474,350 | 5,175,066 | 5,750,000 | 5,750,000 | 0 | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Provisional Payments | 2,790,000 | 2,440,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Aspire Virtual School Initiatives (Rapid Response) | 380,035 | 377,667 | 382,324 | 382,324 | 0 | 0 |
| Special School Funding | 903,872 | 817,251 | 791,917 | 791,917 | 0 | 0 |
| EY Portage & Youth Service | 14,438,249 | 16,930,529 | 18,175,947 | 19,437,396 | (1,261,449) | |
| Wordsfirst & Salt Projects | 471,527 | 404,597 | 395,049 | 395,049 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 53,169,450 | 57,542,249 | 56,281,020 | 58,296,789 | (2,015,769) | |
| Gatekeeping | | 620,000 | 725,651 | 1,725,651 | (1,000,000) | |
| Total Budget | | 58,162,249 | 57,006,671 | 60,022,440 | (3,015,769) | |

Table – High Needs Outturn

6.2

The 2025/26 High Needs overspend are driven by:

- Expansion in ARP places.
- Growth in places at special schools.
- Pressures within the Home Tuition service.
- Pressures from banding moderation.
- A contingent liability for historic payments

6.3

Mainstream Top-up Payments

As discussed with schools' forums during the summer, the table below shows the funding allocation for mainstream top-up payments. The total budget for mainstream top-up is £5.750m. An amount of £4.150m has been paid to schools for pupils with EHCP's. The budget balance of £1.6m will be paid for pupils without EHCP's following a review of the data submitted.

| High Needs Top Up | £ |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Mainstream Top-up Budget | 5,750,000.00 |
| Allocation for EHCP | - 4,149,755.00 |
| Allocation for Non-EHCP | 1,600,245.00 |

6.4

As part of the ongoing strategies to mitigate the HN overspend, the local authority is proposing the following one-off savings from central services funded from High Needs for 25/26. The table below shows savings of circa 1m to mitigate 2025/26 deficit.

| High Need Central Savings - One Off - In Year Savings | Amount |
|--|------------------|
| Commissioned services | 282,974 |
| Reduction to training budget | 221,358 |
| Gatekeeping | 300,000 |
| Reduction to Residential Education | 200,000 |
| Total | 1,004,332 |

Recommendation (iii): Schools forum is requested to:

Note & comment on the outturn forecast and savings to mitigate the High Needs projected overspend.

All noted. No action required from the schools' forum at this time

Comments

SH & KA noted that while capital investment is increasing, there is insufficient revenue funding to run new provisions, and the lag in funding for increasing needs remains a significant concern.

Discussion on the high costs of independent special school placements, with **JH** noting that 20-25% of all special provision is now independent and average costs are double those of local provision. LBBD use of INM special provision is still relatively low.

SA – Requested clarity on the absence of out-of-borough/independent placement figures in the High Needs out-turn table and whether spending has reduced.

KA - Out-of-borough funding is still reported; spend is contained within budget this year—around £6 million compared with £7 million last year. The authority is monitoring this closely to maintain a break-even position.

SA - Asked if some independent providers are exploiting the system by charging excessively for placements. **JH responded** – that independent provision now accounts for roughly 25% of all special school places nationally and often costs double maintained-sector rates (£60–80k per place).

SC / JH – noted that the LA officers visit external providers to check quality and that proposed fee increases are challenged.

Cllr K queried the specifics of historic payments with our neighbouring borough; **KA responded** – The neighbouring borough in question claims £1 million owed to them; negotiations are underway to offset mutual debts and clarified that after legal advice, only six years of claims are considered, with about £400,000 already paid and reciprocal claims made for pupils in local schools in their area.

TR – raised a question: Is the £1 million claimed owed to their borough or individual schools and does the money Barking & Dagenham is owed come to the LA or to our schools? **KA responded** - Payments are made borough-to-borough; each LA then distributes funds to its own schools. LBBD has paid around £400k against roughly £1m claimed by neighbouring borough but is also billing them for a larger amount owed in return. Negotiations continue.

TR – raised a question: Could the LA approach local schools to create equivalent SEN provision in-borough—offering them the same funding currently paid to costly private or out-of-borough placements? **JH responded** - Yes in principle, where EHCPs and

suitability allow. Some schools are already exploring creative approaches; the most complex CYP (autism + SEMH) remain hardest to place.

TR - shared update from discussions with Barking MP Nesil Caliskan on SEND tribunals and excessive private placement costs; encouraged continued engagement.

SH – mentioned that a meeting between MPs and local headteachers will be followed up.

RL – raised a question: Are the historic debts from other local authorities mainly for mainstream or special-school placements and is this why reconciliation is difficult?

KA responded - They relate only to maintained mainstream schools, not special schools.

RL - Point/Question: Since every borough faces similar high-needs pressures, could neighbouring LAs collaborate to create joint provision for the small number of very complex, high-cost pupils still sent to independent settings? **JH responded** - The idea has been floated by government before, but few successful examples exist because capital funding is allocated per borough.

TR raised the question - What effect will one-off savings in Commissioned Services, Training, Gate-Keeping and Residential Education have on schools? **JH responded** - Savings aim to ease pressures and top-up shortfalls rather than cut support permanently.

SH - Confirmed that the High-Needs Working Group will now have a smaller membership (around 12 representatives) to focus on actions rather than open debate.

7 Update on Early Years

7.1 The projected outturn for early years for 2025/26 is a breakeven position. Due to lower uptake hours across all the funding streams, there is a possibility of an underspend across the various Early years budget lines, however, finance is working with the EY teams to assess the impact of the lower take-up on the outturn position and will report to school's forum at the next meeting.

| 2025-26 Early Years Funding | Ratified Budget | PTE | Annual Entit'mt (Hrs) | Funded Hours | Rates paid by DFE | Total DSG Funding | Rates Paid to Providers | Anticipated Expenditure | Variance |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | | | 2025/26 | 2025/26 | 2025/26 | 2025/26 | 2025/26 | 2025/26 | 2024-25 |
| Centrally Retained 4% | 1,357,230 | | | | | | | 1,357,230 | 1,357,230 |
| Universal 3&4 YO | 13,413,513 | 4,240 | 570 | 2,416,555 | 6.48 | 15,659,276 | 5.70 | 13,765,925 | |
| Census Adj. | | | | | | | | | |
| Plus 15 PTE 3& 4 YO | 4,181,415 | 1,179 | 570 | 672,167 | 6.48 | 4,355,641 | 5.70 | 3,829,004 | |
| Deprivation | 926,617 | | | | | | 0.30 | 926,617 | |
| Flexibility | 324,316 | | | | | | 0.21 | 324,316 | |
| SEN Inclusion | 300,000 | | | | | | | 300,000 | |
| Contingency | 68,459 | | | | | | | 68,459 | |
| EY Pupil Premium | 431,701 | 757.37 | 570 | 431,701 | 1 | 431,701 | | 431,701 | |
| DAF - 3&4YO | 197,918 | | | 211 | 938 | 197,918 | | 197,918 | |
| Total 3&4 YO EY Block | 19,843,939 | | | | | 20,644,536 | | 19,843,939 | -800,597 |
| Entitlement 2 YO | 4,475,288 | 890 | 570 | 507,158 | 9.41 | 4,772,353 | 8.92 | 4,521,508 | |
| Plus 15 PTE 2YOF | 3,532,113 | 686 | 570 | 390,997 | 9.41 | 3,679,285 | 8.92 | 3,485,893 | |
| 2YOF PP | 275,310 | 483.00 | 570 | 275310 | 1 | 275,310 | | 275,310 | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-----|---------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 2YOF DAF | 42,210 | 45.00 | 938 | 42,210 | | 42,210 | | |
| SEN inclusion fund | 100,000 | | | | | 100,000 | | |
| Contingency | 6,171 | | | | | 6,171 | | |
| Total Entitlement 2 Y O | 8,431,092 | | | | 8,769,158 | | 8,431,092 | -338,066 |
| Under 2 year old | 5,110,711 | 747.18 | 570 | 425,893 | 12.83 | 5,464,203 | 12.00 | 5,110,711 |
| Under 2YOF PP | 24,778 | 43.47 | 570 | 24,778 | 1.00 | 24,778 | | 24,778 |
| Under 2s DAF | 7,504 | | | 8 | 938.00 | 7,504 | | 7,504 |
| SEN Inclusion Fund | 76,507 | | | | | | | 76,507 |
| Contingency | 58,417 | | | | | | | 58,417 |
| Under 2 Funding Total | 5,277,917 | | | | | 5,496,485 | | 5,277,917 |
| | | | | | | | | -218,568 |
| TOTAL EY BLOCK | 34,910,178 | | | | | 34,910,179 | | 34,910,178 |
| | | | | | | | | 0 |

7.2 Take up of Extended Entitlements

Parents apply for eligibility codes from the DfE's website, which has been rebranded as Best Start in Life. They prove their eligibility online and only when they are issued a code, can they claim. To activate their claim, codes must be validated by the LA. So, some parents apply and get a code but do not use it.

7.3 823 codes have been issued for children entitled to the 2-year-old working parents' offer. Of these, 742 codes have been validated, which makes our validation rate 90%. At present, 720 2-year-olds are accessing their new working entitlement in Barking and Dagenham.

7.4 The extended entitlement for children aged 9 months and above commenced in September 2025. In total, 415 codes have been issued. 84% of these have been validated. At present, 476 children are accessing their entitlement in our settings. We are currently seeing between 8 and 9 code validations per day.

Early Years Capital Programme

7.5 LBBD received £248k EY capital funding to support the sector as it prepares to deliver the final phase of expansion of the working parent entitlement from September 2025. The early years capital programme has successfully delivered 318 new childcare places for the expansion of early years entitlements. These places were ready for delivery of the new entitlements on the 1st of September 2025.

Recommendation (iv): Schools forum is requested to:

Note the EY projected outturn and take-up of places.

All noted. No action required from the schools' forum at this time.

Comments

RN – How was capital funding spent – private nurseries or mainstream schools? **KA** **responded** – data will be checked with the early years and shared before the next meeting.

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 8 | Update on DSG Allocation & NFF – Indicative Allocation for 2026/27 | |
| 8.1 | <p>Due to the DfE delay in publishing the notional schools and high needs national funding formula (NFF), the LA is unable to present the 2026/27 allocations to the October schools forum.</p> <p>8.2 According to the statement released by the DfE, the notional NFF allocations for schools and all supporting documents on the NFF will be published later in the autumn.</p> <p>8.3 A policy document published by the DfE on the 4th of August, outlined the principles that will be adopted for the 2026/27 NFF. The principles of the funding methodology remain the same as the previous year.</p> <p>8.4 The principles to be adopted are outlined below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To Apply National Funding Formula (NFF) rates for all funding factors including area cost adjustment except for basic entitlement (AWPU) • To adjust the AWPU rates to remain within the cash limit and to achieve a ratio to shift funding between primary and secondary phases or as close to it as possible. • To apply no capping and scaling unless necessary to allow the formula to operate in a reasonable, fair, and stable manner. This means that schools will retain all their gains under the formula. However, it may be necessary to apply capping and scaling to ensure that the formula remains affordable. <p>8.5 It is important to note the Schools Budget Support Grant (SBSG) and the National Insurance Contributions (NICs) grant will be rolled into the NFF for 2026/27.</p> <p>8.6 LA's will continue to be responsible for deciding the local funding formulae for both maintained schools and academies in their local area. To this end LBBD is proposing to consult on the principles of the NFF using the 2025/26 accepted model as the basis for discussion.</p> | |
| 9 | Recommendation (v): Schools forum are requested to note: | |
| | The principles that will be applied to the 2026/27 funding formula, that schools will be consulted regarding the local funding formulae as soon as the DfE publish the indicative NFF. | |
| 9 | <i>All noted. No action required from the schools' forum at this time.</i> | |
| 9.1 | <p>2025/26 Growth Fund</p> <p>Growth funding is allocated to Schools to manage an increase in pupil numbers in 2025/26 before the lagged funding catches up. The DFE allocates growth funding based on the differences between primary and secondary pupils at school within each Middle Layer Super Output Area (MSOA) between October 2023 and October 2024 census figures. Only positive MSOA growth will be used in calculating the number of pupils to be funded. The criteria set by LBBD for the growth fund is as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support growth in Pre-16 pupil numbers to meet basic need. | |

- Support additional classes needed to meet the infant class size regulation.
- Meet the costs of new schools.
- Where a school or academy has agreed with the local authority to provide an extra class to meet basic need in the area (either as a bulge class or as an ongoing commitment).

9.2 The 2025/26 DFE growth fund allocation is £1.302m and the provisional growth fund requirement is £0.929m. The rate of growth allocation per pupil is £1,771.05 and £2,650.94 for primary and secondary schools respectively.

9.3 The table below outlines the updated growth allocations for 2025/26 based on the projected admission numbers for September 2025. These figures are provisional and maybe subject to change pending confirmation by the LA admission team.

| School | Prim Nos | Sec Nos | Prim. Growth | Sec. Growth | Total Growth Allocation 2025/26 incl ACA |
|--|------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | £1,771.05 | £2,650.94 | |
| Eastbrook School | | 90 | £0.00 | £238,584.69 | £238,584.69 |
| Greatfields Secondary School | | 60 | | £159,056.46 | £159,056.46 |
| Greatfields Primary School | 30 | | £53,131.63 | | £53,131.63 |
| Riverside Primary (Free School) | 60 | | £106,263.25 | £0.00 | £106,263.25 |
| Robert Clack School of Science | 60 | 60 | £106,263.25 | £159,056.46 | £265,319.71 |
| Thames View Junior | 60 | | £106,263.25 | £0.00 | £106,263.25 |
| Total | 210 | 210 | £371,921.38 | £556,697.61 | £928,618.99 |
| DFE Growth Fund Allocation 2025/26 | | | | | £1,302,413.00 |
| Surplus/(Shortfall)Growth Allocation to support falling | | | | | £373,794.01 |

Table – 2025/26 Provisional Growth Fund Allocation

Recommendation (vi): Schools forum is requested to:

Approve the provisional growth fund allocation of £0.929m.

Approved by School's Forum

Comments

RN – raised a question about the expansion status of Thames View Junior. **KA** to check with Andy Carr regarding planned growth

SH - Queried whether schools such as Thames View Junior met the formal growth criteria. KA

| | <p>TR - requested clearer long-term pupil-number projections and local-area growth plans to understand future funding needs. SH responded that Andy would present updated projections and potential capping or expansion options at a future meeting.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|---------|-----------------------|-----|------------|--------------------------------|-----|------------|--------------------------|-----|------------|---|-----|------------|--|-----|------------|-------------------------------|-----|------------|--------------------------------|-----|------------|------------------------|-----|------------|---------------------|-----|------------|---------------------------------|-----|------------|--------------------------------------|----|-----------|--|------|-------------|
| 10 | Falling Rolls Fund 2025/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10.1 | <p>The table below shows the schools that meet the eligibility criteria and the amounts that will be paid to each school to support the reduction in pupil numbers.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>School Name</th> <th>Reduction in NOR</th> <th>Falling Rolls Fund</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Rate per Pupil 1/3 AWPU</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£ 1,454</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Roding Primary School</td> <td>-56</td> <td>£81,449.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dorothy Barley Infants' School</td> <td>-34</td> <td>£49,451.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Southwood Primary School</td> <td>-28</td> <td>£40,724.64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>George Carey Church of England Primary School</td> <td>-28</td> <td>£40,724.64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>St Joseph's Catholic Primary School - Dagenham</td> <td>-25</td> <td>£36,361.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dorothy Barley Junior Academy</td> <td>-20</td> <td>£29,089.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>William Bellamy Primary School</td> <td>-20</td> <td>£29,089.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Valence Primary School</td> <td>-16</td> <td>£23,271.22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Beam Primary School</td> <td>-14</td> <td>£20,362.32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>William Ford CofE Junior School</td> <td>-10</td> <td>£14,544.51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>St Vincent's Catholic Primary School</td> <td>-6</td> <td>£8,726.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>-257</td> <td>£373,794.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | School Name | Reduction in NOR | Falling Rolls Fund | | | Rate per Pupil 1/3 AWPU | | | £ 1,454 | Roding Primary School | -56 | £81,449.28 | Dorothy Barley Infants' School | -34 | £49,451.35 | Southwood Primary School | -28 | £40,724.64 | George Carey Church of England Primary School | -28 | £40,724.64 | St Joseph's Catholic Primary School - Dagenham | -25 | £36,361.28 | Dorothy Barley Junior Academy | -20 | £29,089.03 | William Bellamy Primary School | -20 | £29,089.03 | Valence Primary School | -16 | £23,271.22 | Beam Primary School | -14 | £20,362.32 | William Ford CofE Junior School | -10 | £14,544.51 | St Vincent's Catholic Primary School | -6 | £8,726.71 | | -257 | £373,794.00 |
| School Name | Reduction in NOR | Falling Rolls Fund | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Rate per Pupil 1/3 AWPU | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | £ 1,454 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roding Primary School | -56 | £81,449.28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dorothy Barley Infants' School | -34 | £49,451.35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southwood Primary School | -28 | £40,724.64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| George Carey Church of England Primary School | -28 | £40,724.64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St Joseph's Catholic Primary School - Dagenham | -25 | £36,361.28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dorothy Barley Junior Academy | -20 | £29,089.03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| William Bellamy Primary School | -20 | £29,089.03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Valence Primary School | -16 | £23,271.22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beam Primary School | -14 | £20,362.32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| William Ford CofE Junior School | -10 | £14,544.51 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St Vincent's Catholic Primary School | -6 | £8,726.71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | -257 | £373,794.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <p><i>Table: Falling rolls allocation for 2025/26</i></p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <p>Recommendation (vii): Schools forum are requested to note:</p> <p>The distribution of the unallocated growth fund £373k to support falling rolls.</p> <p><i>Noted by Schools Forum.</i></p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <p>Comments</p> <p>Discussion around the need for locality-based strategic planning,</p> <p>RN - requested a percentage reduction on falling rolls be added to the table.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Action(s) to support schools in financial difficulty | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11.1 | <p>The current financial situation in schools is due to multiple reasons including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unfunded pay awards • High staff absences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- Use of Agency staff for long & short-term cover
- The benefits of having experienced personnel in schools
- Long term impact of falling rolls below schools PAN
- Other cost pressures including less than inflationary increases in schools budget over the past years and high cost of goods & services
- Increase and complexity of children presenting with SEND in schools.
- Recurring use of revenue reserves to fund capital projects
- Delays by schools to respond to the adverse financial health at the school.

11.2

Action(s) by the Local Authority:

- The LA has been organising a series of meetings with individual schools facing financial difficulties.
- An extension to submit a 3-year ratified budget was granted to give time for schools to prepare a costed recovery plan.
- The LA will continue to support schools with falling rolls fund in the short term, whilst Schools are advised to take early action to reorganise resources to take account of sustained reductions in pupil numbers as a long-term solution.
- The LA will prioritise schools with future uplifts in High needs funding to help schools with the financial support needed to manage the increasing numbers of children presenting with complex SEND cases.
- In addition, from 2026/27, the LA will consult with schools' forum and apply to the secretary of state for disapplication to top-slice from Schools block to fund falling rolls and high needs pressures if necessary.
- The LA will continue to support schools with loans from "Schools facing financial difficulties fund – SFFD Fund," the loans will be required to pay back within 3 years which will enable schools to embed their recovery plans for a sustained financial health going forward.
- We will continue to engage the services of the DfE's SRMA program to visit schools for an independent review of school's finances and assist schools with financial curriculum planning of resources.
- The LA will continue to lobby central government through members enquiry and consultation responses for a better funding settlement for schools and other services.

Recommendation (viii): Schools forum are requested to note:

Local Authority actions to support schools in financial difficulty

Noted by Schools Forum.

Comments

Discussion around the actions taken to support schools facing financial challenges, including face-to-face meetings, advice on early action for falling rolls, and prioritisation of top-up funding, while noting the national context of widespread deficits.

| 12 | Any Other Business | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|---------|--|-----------|----|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|---|------------|-----|--|-----------|---|------------|-----|--|-----------|---|------------|------|---|----------|
| | Nothing to report. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Date of Next Meeting | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 th December 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Action Log | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>No</th> <th>Date of Meeting</th> <th>Item No</th> <th>Action</th> <th>Owner</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>21.10.2025</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>Early Years Capital funding data to be shared with RN before the next meeting.</td> <td>Kofi/Riki</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>21.10.2025</td> <td>9.3</td> <td>Clarify the planned expansion status and PAN for Thames View Junior and other schools listed for growth funding, consulting with Andy Carr and the capital team, and report back to the forum.</td> <td>Kofi/Andy</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>21.10.2025</td> <td>10.1</td> <td>Add column to table of a percentage reduction on roll</td> <td>Florence</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | | | No | Date of Meeting | Item No | Action | Owner | 1 | 21.10.2025 | 7.5 | Early Years Capital funding data to be shared with RN before the next meeting. | Kofi/Riki | 2 | 21.10.2025 | 9.3 | Clarify the planned expansion status and PAN for Thames View Junior and other schools listed for growth funding, consulting with Andy Carr and the capital team, and report back to the forum. | Kofi/Andy | 3 | 21.10.2025 | 10.1 | Add column to table of a percentage reduction on roll | Florence |
| No | Date of Meeting | Item No | Action | Owner | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 21.10.2025 | 7.5 | Early Years Capital funding data to be shared with RN before the next meeting. | Kofi/Riki | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 21.10.2025 | 9.3 | Clarify the planned expansion status and PAN for Thames View Junior and other schools listed for growth funding, consulting with Andy Carr and the capital team, and report back to the forum. | Kofi/Andy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 21.10.2025 | 10.1 | Add column to table of a percentage reduction on roll | Florence | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |