

**Barking Town Centre Area Action Plan DPD:  
Inspector's Report, 2010**

**Schedule 4**

**Council's Endorsed Changes**

## Barking Town Centre Area Action Plan DPD

### SCHEDULE 4: Endorsed Changes

The changes below are expressed in the form of ~~strikethrough~~ for deletions and underlining for additions of text. Where there has been an amendment to the Advertised Proposed Changes through the Further Proposed Change or to the Further Proposed Changes through the Hearing Proposed Changes this is shown as ~~double-strikethrough~~ for deletions and double underlining for additions of text.

Any referencing to paragraph/page numbering below refers to the submission and does not take account of the deletion or addition of text.

The first column provides a reference for the change and the second column refers to the origin of the change. APC is Advertised Proposed Change, FPC is Further Proposed Changes and HPC relates the changes made as a result of discussions during the hearing.

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.1	APC	Chapter 2 Paragraph 2.1	<p><b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 28</u></b></p> <p>Amend paragraph 2.1 as follows:</p> <p>Barking <u>and</u> &amp; Dagenham's Local Development Framework (LDF) is made up of a number of planning documents (Local Development Documents) which together will guide the future planning of the <u>Borough for the next 10 years or so until 2025.</u></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.2	FPC	Chapter 2 Paragraph 2.4	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 2</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend paragraph 2.4 as follows:</p> <p>The Core Strategy, <del>when formally adopted early next year,</del> will provide the framework for all other Local Development Documents including this AAP. It <del>will</del> sets out the Council's vision and objectives for the planning of <del>the borough</del> Barking and Dagenham over the next 15 years and includes a number of strategic policies which <del>will</del> apply across the whole of the <del>the</del> Borough. <del>The more detailed</del> development control <del>management</del> policies are contained within the Borough Wide Development Policies Document, <del>which accompanies it. Both Documents are well advanced, having been formally submitted to the Secretary of State in May 2009.</del></p>
En.3	FPC	Chapter 2 Paragraphs 2.5-2.6	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 4</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend paragraph 2.6 accordingly:</p> <p>2.6 The Proposals Map DPD shows the boundary of Core Strategy and <del>the</del> Borough Wide Development policy designations and the boundary of Site Specific Allocations <del>in all adopted DPDs save for the AAP. The Proposals Map shows</del> <u>and</u> the boundary of the AAP area and defines the areas within it that are subject to specific policies of the Core Strategy and Borough wide Development Policy document. The Inset Proposals Map which accompanies the AAP, <del>shows</del> <u>is positioned in the top left hand corner of the Proposals Map.</u> at a larger scale, the boundary of development control policy designations and the boundary of Site Specific Allocations <del>proposed by the AAP.</del></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.4	FPC	Chapter 2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 3</u></b></p> <p>Paragraph 2.5 will be amended as follows:</p> <p>2.5 The Site Specific Allocations DPD, which <del>is running</del> <u>runs</u> parallel to the AAP <del>in timescales,</del> <u>will set</u> out the specific allocations for individual sites across the <del>b</del>Borough. Although it is acceptable for the site specific allocations proposed by the AAP to be included within this document, the Council has decided not to do so. To make the AAP as comprehensive as possible, the site specific allocations for the Barking Town Centre area will remain set out within the adopted AAP.</p>
En.5	FPC	Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 5</u></b></p> <p>3.2 In preparing the policies and proposals in the Area Action Plan, the Council has had regard to <del>the whole range of</del> national planning guidance where it is relevant to the issues faced in the AAP area. Current national planning guidance can be found at: <a href="http://www.planningportal.gov.uk">www.planningportal.gov.uk</a></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.6	FPC	Chapter 3 Heading Paragraph 3.3	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 6</u></b> <u>Mayor for London &amp; and the London Plan</u>
En.7	FPC	Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.3	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 7</u></b> The Council proposes to amend paragraph 3.3 as follows:  <del>3.3</del> The London Plan is the Mayor's Spatial Development Strategy and sets out the strategic policy for <del>targets in</del> London. Local Development Framework Documents, such as the Area Action Plan, are required to be in general conformity with the London Plan <del>and this constitutes one of the key 'soundness' tests,</del> as set out in paragraph 4.233 of PPS12. <del>The London Plan was amended in February 2008 and now incorporates all the alterations made to it since the original adopted version of 2004.</del>
En.8	FPC	Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.4	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 8</u></b>  3.4 The London Plan identifies East London as the priority area for new development, regeneration and investment. It classifies Barking Town Centre as a Major Centre and sets a target of 1,190 new homes per year for Barking and Dagenham. References to specific London Plan policies are made, as appropriate, throughout the AAP.

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.9	FPC	Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.5	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 9</u></b></p> <p>3.5 The Barking and Dagenham Partnership originally set out a framework for the borough in its Community Strategy (“Building Communities and Transforming Lives”). This document was superseded in early 2009 by a new Community Plan which adopts the following <del>community</del> priorities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A safer borough where the problems of antisocial behaviour have been tackled and all young people have a positive role to play in the community.</li> <li>• A clean, green and sustainable borough with far greater awareness of the actions needed to tackle climate change, with less pollution, waste, fly-tipping and graffiti.</li> </ul>
En.10	FPC	Chapter 3 Paragraph 4.1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 11</u></b></p> <p>4.1 This Section gives a <del>very</del> brief summary of the current situation in the AAP area and, <del>from that</del> draws out the key issues for the Plan.</p>
En.11	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.3	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 12</u></b></p> <p>4.3 There has been no investment in additional shopping <u>floorspace</u> in the <del>the</del> Town Centre in the recent past and the under-representation of comparison goods units, <del>the</del> lack of a department store or a large modern food store and the very</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p>limited presence of well known national comparison goods retailers is a source of concern. It prevents Barking from reaching its potential and <u>means that</u> local people <del>will be</del> travelling further a-field to secure a greater range and choice of such products in other centres such as Ilford, Romford, Gallions Reach and Lakeside.</p>
En.12	FPC	Chapter 4	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 13</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to amend paragraph 4.7 as follows:</p> <p>4.7 The Barking Town Centre Retail Study Update 2009 <del>concluded</del> <u>found</u> that, although Barking is relatively healthy in terms of the vitality and viability <del>indicators set out in PPS 6,</del> there is scope to consolidate and improve its retail provision and that it needs to improve its retail offer if it is to prosper. <u>If Barking is to compete with neighbouring shopping destinations such as Ilford, Romford, Gallions Reach, Stratford, East Ham and Lakeside the Town Centre needs to develop a more diverse multiple retail base.</u> The study concluded that the projected levels of consumer spend in the catchment area suggested that the Council should aim to accommodate up to 9,000 sq. m. (net) of additional shopping floorspace within the Town Centre up to 2016.</p>
En.13	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.8	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 14</u></b></p> <p>‘...boundaries of the <del>prime</del> <u>primary</u> and secondary shopping areas...’</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.14	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.24 – 4.25	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 15</u></b></p> <p>Paragraphs 4.24 – 4.25 will be amended as follows:</p> <p>4.24 There is substantial scope for qualitative improvements to both bus and rail services in terms of usability, capacity and reliability, as well as further improvements to the sub-regional public transport network, particularly in the form of East London Transit (ELT). <del>ELT is currently proposed to be a bus based system, the first phase of which will link Ilford town centre and Dagenham Dock and pass through the town centre and the wider AAP area.</del> <u>East London Transit will link Ilford and Barking Town Centres to the new development at Barking Riverside and to Dagenham Dock. Although planned initially as a dedicated bus service, using state of the art technology, an option for upgrade to a tram service will be retained. Phases 1a and 1b are committed. Phase 1a is in operation and connects Ilford to Dagenham Dock via Barking. Phase 1b is scheduled to commence in 2013 and will connect Barking to Dagenham Dock via Barking Riverside. Phase 2 from Barking Town Centre to Gallions Reach and Phase 3 from Dagenham Dock to Rainham, although included in the London Plan (consolidated with alterations since 2004), are not included in the 2008/09 – 2017/18 Transport for London Business Plan. However the London Thames Gateway Development Corporation is advancing proposals for the Barking to Royal Docks Bus Corridor scheme which follows a similar route to Phase 2. More detail is provided in the Housing and Implementation Strategy.</u></p> <p>4.25 Public transport accessibility decreases towards the edges of</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p>the Action Plan area, where the public transport network is less dense. Phase 2 of ELT, which was originally conceived to connect Barking to the proposed Thames Gateway Bridge and <del>which</del> would have further increased the regeneration benefits for Barking. <u>However, the London Thames Gateway Development Corporation is advancing proposals for the Barking to Royal Docks Bus Corridor scheme which follows a similar route to Phase 2.</u> <del>, was omitted from the 2008/09 Transport for London Business Plan. However</del> <del>†</del>The Council still believes that such public transport improvements <u>is are</u> essential both because of the local accessibility benefits but also because of the sub-regional benefits that can still be obtained through links to City Airport and to Custom House with interchange to Crossrail. <del>The Council is, therefore working with the London Thames Gateway Development Corporation and Transport for London to deliver the Barking to Royal Docks Bus Corridor. (BRDBC)</del></p>
En.15	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.37	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 16</u></b></p> <p><del>‘...and expects that LBB&amp;D the Borough, including Barking Town Centre, will play a major role in delivering them. The London Plan Alterations set a housing target of...’</del></p>
En.16	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.41	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 17</u></b></p> <p>4.41 The <u>additional population that the homes will</u> <del>additional homes the population that they will</del> generate will, inevitably, put pressure on current physical and social infrastructure (e.g. schools, health facilities and leisure, play &amp; and open spaces)...’</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.17	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.42	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 18</u></b></p> <p>4.42 Accordingly in June 2006, the Council commissioned a Social Infrastructure Assessment and produced the Social Infrastructure Framework for the period between 2006 and 2027. This related to the supply of and future demands for a range of social infrastructure services such as healthcare, education, leisure, recreation, and open space and community facilities including libraries and Adult learning and Youth services.</p>
En.18	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.43	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 19</u></b></p> <p>4.43 ...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Further Education and training facilities within the Town Centre</li> </ul>
En.19	FPC	Chapter 4 Heading 4.44	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 20</u></b></p> <p>Urban Design &amp; <u>and</u> Public Realm</p>
En.20	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.46	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 21</u></b></p> <p>'...the Barking Abbey Ancient Monument <u>S</u>site and a number of <u>s</u>Statutory <u>l</u>isted <u>b</u>Buildings...'</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.21	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.47	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 22</u></b></p> <p>4.47 Although there are many grassed amenity areas within housing estates in the <del>the</del> <u>Town e</u>Centre, the Plan area contains few parks and public open spaces. Consequently, there are significant areas with deficient access to local parks. <del>lan</del></p>
En.22	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.49	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 23</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend paragraph 4.49 as follows:</p> <p>4.49 <del>Although</del> <u>Abbey Green</u> is the most central open space in the <del>the</del> <u>Town e</u>Centre, <u>it</u> contains key heritage sites and buildings and is occasionally used for major events. <u>However</u>, it is an under-exploited <del>and underused</del> resource with almost no provision of amenities such as <del>sitting</del> <u>seating</u> areas,</p>
En.23	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.51	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 24</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend paragraph 4.51 as follows:</p> <p>4.51 The issues of achieving low carbon development and securing high standards of sustainable design and construction in new developments have been explored during successive stages of producing the AAP, particularly in the context of the AAP area being designated as a pilot Energy Action Area (<u>now Low Carbon Zone</u>) by the Mayor for London <u>in 2005</u>.</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.24	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.53	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 25</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to clarify paragraph 4.53 and 4.54 as follows:</p> <p>4.53 Whilst the tTown eCentre itself has a low probability of flooding (Flood Zone 1), areas adjacent to the River Roding and towards the southern boundary of the plan area are at high risk of flooding (Flood Zone 3a). Consequently, as part of the Evidence Base for the AAP, the Council has undertaken the Sequential and, where necessary, Parts 4 a) and 2 b) of the Exception Tests in respect of all of the sites where the Preferred Options Report suggested a Site Specific Allocation would be made.</p> <p>4.54 The application of the tests has indicated that the forms of development which the AAP proposes on sites within Flood Zone 3a are acceptable subject to developers submitting a flood risk assessment (completing part c) of the <u>Exceptions Test</u>) setting out details of on-site measures to reduce the likelihood and impact of flooding. <u>Developers should refer to the Council's document 'PPS25 Sequential Test for Barking Town Centre Area Action Plan for further information'.</u></p>
En.25	FPC	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.55	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 26</u></b></p> <p>4.55 Although direct expenditure by the Council and other public sector bodies (§see Chapter 8 Implementation and Monitoring)...'</p>

<b>Reference</b>	<b>Origin of Change</b>	<b>Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic</b>	<b>Endorsed Changes</b>
En.26	FPC	Chapter 6 and 7	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 28</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend Chapters 6 and 7 to include page numbers and paragraph numbers.</p>
En.27	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 29</u></b></p> <p>Format the entirety of the second paragraph in Arial Bold font.</p>
En.28	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 30</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to clarify paragraph three as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Within the Town Centre, schemes, which help maintain a balance between Barking’s local distinctiveness and the larger <u>national multiple retailers sized shop units</u> currently missing will be particularly welcome encouraged</u></b></p>
En.29	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC1 RJ: Paragraph 1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 31</u></b></p> <p>‘The Barking Town Centre Retail Study Update 2009 concluded that, although Barking is relatively healthy in terms of vitality and viability indicators set out in PPS6, there is scope...’</p>
En.30	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC1 RJ: Paragraph 2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 32</u></b></p> <p>‘...However, developers bringing forward proposals for shopping <u>floorspace</u> are urged to take account of the English Heritage Document ‘Retail Development in Historic Areas’.</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.31	HPC	Chapter 6 BTC1	<p><b><u>Hearing Proposed Change 5</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to introduce a new paragraph 3 to the Reasoned Justification of BTC1:</p> <p><u>The Barking Town Centre Retail Study Update 2009 identifies that one of Barking Town Centre’s defining features is its unusually large proportion of independent retailers at the same time it explains that those town centres which have a higher proportion of national multiples tend to be more successful. Therefore, whilst the Council expects that the major schemes which will supply the identified additional floorspace up to 2016 will increase the number of national multiples within the Town Centre these schemes will need to be carefully designed so that they help support Barking Town Centre’s strong independent retailing offer and in particular are designed and laid out so that they function as part of the primary shopping area rather than as standalone schemes.</u></p>
En.32	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC1 RJ: Paragraph 3	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 33</u></b></p> <p>‘...within the London Road/North Street development (BTCSSA1) and some 2,500 sq. m. (net) expansion in the Vicarage Field Shopping Centre (BTCSSA12-BTCSSA10)...’</p>
En.33	APC	Chapter 6  Policy BTC1	<p><b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 2</u></b></p> <p>Remove the paragraph referring to the extension of the Tesco store at the London Road / A406 junction as depicted below:</p> <p><del>The store is currently some 3,500 – 4,000 sq m. Tesco wish to extend this store but the Council have refused permission because of the site’s</del></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			out of centre location and the potential impacts on the vitality and viability of the town centre.
En.34	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC1 RJ: Paragraph 8	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 34</u></b></p> <p>The Council considers that there is merit in allowing the replacement of the existing Tesco store with a larger store on the Abbey Retail Park as part of a single/linked development of both sites. The new store will be on an edge of centre site rather than, as at present, an out of centre site and this better aligns with the provisions of <del>PPS-6</del> <u>PPS4</u>.</p>
En.35	Chapter 6  Policy BTC2		<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 35</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BE1 and BE3 of the BWDP DPD as follows:</p> <p><b>POLICY BTC 2: PRIMARY AND SECONDARY SHOPPING FRONTAGES</b></p> <p><del>Proposals for a change of use from retail within Barking Town Centre will be assessed against policy BE1 of the Borough Wide Development Policies. For the purposes of implementing this policy in Barking Town Centre, the</del></p> <p><del>The Council will regard the primary and secondary shopping areas, and the constituent frontages, as are</del> <u>are</u> set out below and defined on the Inset Proposals Map:</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p><b>Primary shopping frontages</b></p> <p>East Street: 1-35, 41-67, 2a-42, 54-68 &amp; 2 Ripple Road</p> <p>Station Parade: 1-27, 2-18, 24 – 38</p> <p>Vicarage Field: Ground Floor, First Floor</p> <p>Ripple Road: 1-5</p> <p>The Town Square Phase 2 frontage onto Ripple Road</p> <p><b>Secondary shopping frontages</b></p> <p>Station Parade: 29-41, 51-61, 50-74</p> <p>Ripple Road: 13-23</p> <p>London Road: 1-29</p> <p><b>No restriction will be placed on changes of use to non-retail uses in the following frontages within and on the fringe of the Town Centre:</b></p> <p>Ripple Road: 25-55, 32-58, 62-82, 107-119</p> <p>Longbridge Road: 13-41, 14-62a, 69-99, 64-102</p> <p>London Road: 14-34</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p>The existing parade of shops at the Triangle, in Fanshawe Avenue and in the Gascoigne Estate (or any replacement shopping for it which is provided as part of creating a new neighbourhood), are defined as Neighbourhood Centres. <del>for the purposes of policy BE1 of the Borough wide Development Policies and shown on the Core Strategy and Borough Wide Development Policies Proposals Map.</del></p> <p><del>Change of use proposals for other shopping parades and individual shops in the AAP area will be judged against policy BE3 of the Borough wide Development Policies.</del></p> <p><del>N.B. The retail status of the frontages to the new Market Square will be decided when more detail is known as to the form of the London Road/North Street redevelopment scheme (BTCSSA1).</del></p>
En.36	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 36</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to remove the following sections of the Reasoned Justification to Policy BTC2:</p> <p><del>The UDP designated primary shopping areas where retail was given a high degree of protection. However, because some non retail uses such as banks, building societies and restaurants are required for, and add to, the attractiveness and efficient functioning of the Town Centre, it also designated secondary shopping frontages where a more flexible approach would be adopted and a number of frontages towards the edge of the centre where there would be no limits on changes of use from shops to such uses.</del></p> <p><del>Policy BE1 of the Borough wide Development Policies has continued this policy approach, setting a limit of 15% of non retail uses in the</del></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<del>primary shopping areas and 30% in the secondary shopping areas. It also requires the AAP to define these areas for Barking Town Centre.</del>
En.37	HPC	Chapter 6 BTC2 4th paragraph RJ	<p><b><u>Hearing Proposed Change 6</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to amend the 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph of the Reasoned Justification to remove reference to the percentage of measured frontage regarding hot food takeaways since this relates to Policy BE1 of the Borough Wide Development Plan which is yet to be examined:</p> <p>The frontages 32-58 Ripple Road and 25-55 Ripple Road are downgraded from secondary to unrestricted frontages. They already significantly exceed the maximum % of non retail envisaged by the policy for secondary frontages, only perform a limited retail role and removing the retail protection will enable them to develop a new role in the town centre and, by providing an appropriate location for non retail uses, take pressure for changes of use off those frontages which retain their primary or secondary designation. <del>The restriction of hot food takeaways to a maximum of 15% of the measured frontage will, however, still apply.</del></p>
En.38	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC3 RJ: Paragraph 2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 37</u></b></p> <p>'...workers &amp; <u>and</u> residents for example through the creation of new public spaces, <del>and</del> cafes &amp; <u>and</u> restaurants.'</p>
En.39	APC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC5	<p><b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 3</u></b></p> <p>Amend first paragraph of policy BTC5 as follows:</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p><b>POLICY BTC 5: LEISURE USES AND THE EVENING ECONOMY</b></p> <p>The Council will encourage the provision of commercial leisure uses within the Town Centre (as defined on the Inset Proposals Map) and regards their inclusion as part of a mixed use development around Barking Station (see BTCSSA3) <del>or</del> <u>and</u> the Broadway Theatre as particularly appropriate.</p>
En.40	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC5	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 38</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to define the Town Quay area on the Proposal Map to clarify BTC5.</p>
En.41	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC5 RJ: Last paragraph	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 39</u></b></p> <p>'The Council regards the area around Town Quay as a PPS64 Edge of Centre location...'</p>
En.42	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC7	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 40</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend the second paragraph of BTC7 as follows:</p> <p><b>'In particular, it welcomes and will promote the development of East London Transit and the Barking to Royal Docks Bus Corridor through the Town Centre and the wider AAP area on the <del>indicative</del> alignments shown on the Inset Proposals Map, subject to...'</b></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.43	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC7 RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 41</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to update paragraphs three and four of the Reasoned Justification to bring it up-to-date:</p> <p>‘The construction of Phase 1a, <del>which will</del> connects Ilford to Dagenham Dock via Barking <del>t</del>Town <del>e</del>Centre <del>was started in January 2008 with the reallocation of the affected market stalls to a new market square off East Street (see BTCSSA1) and is due to become operational in early 2010 autumn 2009.</del> It <del>will be</del> is bus based, although the detailed design will allow for upgrading to a tram based system if this proves viable.</p> <p>Phase 1a <del>will enter</del> the AAP area at the Longbridge Road roundabout and <del>will pass</del> along Longbridge Road past the station before entering the pedestrianised sections of Station Parade and Ripple Road. The streets <del>will remain</del> essentially pedestrian in nature but with the ELT buses running down the middle. <del>and t</del>The Council will ensure that the ELT is...’</p>
En.44	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC9	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 42</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to update Policy BTC9 as follows:</p> <p><b>POLICY BTC9: TOWN CENTRE CAR CLUB</b></p> <p><b>In order to reduce reliance on the private car, to encourage car</b></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p><b>pooling and to support the policy of reduced car parking for new residential developments, the Council <u>has introduced</u> <del>will support the introduction of a town centre eCar eClub</del></b></p>
En.45	FPC	<p>Chapter 6 Policy BTC9</p>	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 43</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend the Reasoned Justification to Policy BTC9 as follows:</p> <p><b>REASONED JUSTIFICATION</b></p> <p>A <u>eCar eClub</u> provides its members with quick and easy access to a <del>club</del>-vehicle for short term hire. Cars are located at designated parking bays in the area and the driver returns the car to one of the bays at the end of the journey. Members pay a monthly or annual subscription and pay-as-you-go charges include fuel and maintenance costs.</p> <p>The proposed club is designed to encourage and facilitate a move away from private car ownership and complement the Council's other policies and strategies to facilitate a modal shift to more sustainable forms of transport. The Council considers it necessary, viable and useful in the town centre because of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking pressures and the existence of controlled parking zones;</li> <li>• The good public transport links within the town centre-</li> <li>• Town centre housing developments having reduced or no parking</li> <li>• A business community with potential for corporate use of the car club-</li> </ul> <p>The <u>eClub</u>, <del>which will be</del> <u>is</u> operated and managed by a private sector</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			company, and will <u>be</u> initially be focussed on the Axe Street/Town Square area but will, if successful, later be extended to other parts of the Town Centre. At that point the Council will explore the potential for a number of the evening parking bays to be created on East Street (see policy BTC5) to be dedicated for Car Club usage.
En.46	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC10  8 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 44</u></b>  Format the font of the 8 <sup>th</sup> bullet point as Arial Bold.
En.47	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC11 7 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 46</u></b>  '...where it can cross into <del>L.B.</del> <u>the London Borough of Newham.</u> '
En.48	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC11	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 47</u></b>  The Council proposes to include mention of the London Cycle Superhighway in Policy BTC11 as follows:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Securing the implementation of TfL's Cycle Super Highway to improve links between Outer and Central London.</u></b></li> </ul>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.49	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC11 RJ: Paragraph 2	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 48</u></b>  'The pPolicy is designed to will encourage...'
En.43	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC12 RJ: Paragraph 3	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 49</u></b>  '...Not to provide it would lead to shoppers driving to other centres such as Ilford & <u>and</u> Romford...'
En.50	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC12 RJ: Paragraph 6	<b><u>Further Proposed Change 50</u></b>  '...the Lid  car park (70 spaces)...'
En.51	APC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC13	<b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 10</u></b>  The Council proposes to amend the second sentence of the third paragraph of Policy BTC 13: Housing Supply as follows:  <b>'The Council is committed to working with its partners to deliver such infrastructure (e.g. schools, <u>utilities</u>, transport, health, leisure, play &amp; <u>and</u> open spaces) in a timely manner...'</b>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.52	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC13	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 53</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend paragraph 3 BTC13 of the Core strategy as follows:</p> <p><b>The Council acknowledges that these additional homes and the additional population that they will generate will, inevitably, put pressure on current physical, social and green infrastructure in the Plan area. The Council is committed to working with its partners to deliver such infrastructure (e.g. schools, <u>utilities</u> transport, health, leisure, play &amp; <u>and</u> open spaces) in a timely manner but it will also wish to ensure that development will not proceed unless <u>the</u> additional <del>the</del> infrastructure will be provided prior to its opening or to a guaranteed and agreed timetable.</b></p>
En.53	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC15	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 56</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend BTC15 of the Core strategy as follows:</p> <p><b>The Council will work in partnership with other bodies (such as <del>the Primary Care Trust</del> <u>NHS Barking and Dagenham</u>, the Metropolitan Police, the University of East London and Barking College) to enable the provision of a suitable range of health, educational and community facilities in the AAP area to meet existing and future demand.</b></p>
En.54	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC15	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 57</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to an unexamined policy as</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
		RJ: Paragraph 1	<p>follows:</p> <p>' Whilst the AAP deals with the provision of additional homes and the encouragement of economic development that will underpin the regeneration of the area, it also needs to focus on the provision of the infrastructure necessary to support it. <del>Policy BC11 of the Borough Wide Development Policies deals with the utilities infrastructure issues whilst policy BR 10 deals with transport infrastructure. Both will apply within the AAP area.</del></p>
En.55	FPC	<p>Chapter 6</p> <p>Policy BTC16</p>	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 58</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to BP11 in Policy BTC16 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>'...In order to achieve this, the Council will expect all schemes to be compliant with the principles set out in Policy DP11 of the Borough wide Development Policies and, as appropriate, to take full account of:...'</u></b></p>
En.56	FPC	<p>Chapter 6</p> <p>Policy BTC16</p> <p>RJ: Paragraph 2</p>	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 59</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend the Reasoned Justification of Policy BTC16 as follows:</p> <p>The AAP only provides a general policy stressing the need for and requiring the provision of a high quality of urban design because more detailed guidance is provided within associated documents. <del>The key one of these will be the</del> <u>document is the</u> Barking Town Centre AAP Urban Design Guidance which the Council and the London</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			Development Agency has commissioned and which will be adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document to the AAP. Other documents such as the Abbey Road Riverside and the Abbey and <u>Barking</u> Town Centre Conservation Areas Character Appraisals will also provide useful guidance as will the Barking Station Masterplan which it is also intended to adopt as an SPD.
En.57	APC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC18	<p><b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 11</u></b></p> <p>The Inset Proposals Map depicts the ‘Abbey Road Public Realm Improvement’ scheme, therefore the Council proposes to clarify Policy BTC18 as follows:</p> <p><b>The Council will implement public realm improvement schemes in Abbey Road south (as defined on the Inset Proposals Map <u>as ‘Abbey Road Public Realm Improvement’</u>).</b></p>
En.58	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC18	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 62</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend Policy BTC18 as follows:</p> <p><b>In undertaking these and all other public realm improvement schemes, the Council will consistently use the materials and methods specified in the Barking Code, include artists within design teams, seek to raise the profile of historic street and spaces and include, wherever possible, high quality <u>Ppublic Art</u></b></p>
En.59	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC18	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 63</u></b></p> <p>In implementing public realm schemes, developers should also have</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			regard to the <del>Energy Action</del> <u>Low Carbon Zone</u> status of the <del>†Town</del> <u>Centre</u> and be able to demonstrate that their schemes, whilst respecting the design and historic context in which they are set, optimise energy efficient and renewable energy design solutions. The inclusion of high profile pieces of public art powered by sustainable energy and symbolising the Town Centre's designation as a <u>Low Carbon Zone</u> <del>pilot Energy Action Area</del> , will be particularly welcomed.
En.60	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC19	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 64</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend the paragraph 3 of Policy BTC19 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>In any event, all d Developments in the a Conservation Areas or involving a buildings of historical and/or architectural interest (both statutory listed and of local importance Statutory Listed and Locally Listed) or affecting their setting will be required to conform with pPolicy CP2 of the Core Strategy and take full account of the relevant Conservation Area Appraisal. and Policy BP2 of the Borough wide Development Policies and to take full account of the relevant Conservation Area Appraisal.</u></b></p>
En.61	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC19	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 65</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to unexamined policy in the last paragraph of the Reasoned Justification:</p> <p><del>The whole of the AAP lies within an Area of Archaeological Significance and, accordingly, in line with policy BP3 (Archaeology) of the Borough wide Development Policies, developers should take into account the</del></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			archaeological significance of sites and take appropriate measures to safeguard that interest.
En.62	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC20	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 67</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To improve the linkages between the parks and open spaces in the AAP area, the Council will wish to see extensive tree planting along some streets to form a network of “green streets” which as well as linking parks &amp; <u>and</u> open spaces also softens the environment, and provides pleasant routes for pedestrians &amp; <u>and</u> cyclists.</li> </ul>
En.63	FPC	Chapter 6 Policy BTC20 RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 68</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to unexamined policy in the last paragraph of the Reasoned Justification:</p> <p>Tree planting will form one significant element of the improvements to open spaces and to the development of the “green streets” that will link them. <del>but in addition to that, the Council wishes to increase tree planting generally within the Plan area and will prefer the use of native species (see Policy BR3 of the Borough wide Development Policies).</del></p>
En.64	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 70</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend the ‘Timescales’ section as follows:</p> <p><del>A temporary Market Square was opened on part of the site in January 2009 to accommodate the market stalls displaced by the east London</del></p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<p><del>Transit.</del> Work on site to implement the overall Phase 1 of the scheme is expected to started in 2010. and tThe Skills Centre is expected to become operational during 2011/12 along with 100 of the new homes. The completion and occupation of the <u>large food/non food</u> store, the other retail units, the final market square, the remainder of the new housing and the extension to the Methodist Church is expected in 2012/13.</p>
En.65	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 71</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to amend the 'Implementation' wording as follows:</p> <p><del>'Although the Council owns/controls the greatest part of the site negotiations are underway to acquire all the outstanding interests with the exception of the Methodist Church but including the site of the former Woolworths store.'</del></p>
En.66	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA1	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 73</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA5 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes		
En.67	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA1  5 <sup>th</sup> paragraph of RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 75</u></b></p> <p>There is an identified need for a skills centre for young people &amp; <u>and</u> adults in the Borough since measures to raise educational attainment and provide training opportunities for local people are important in enabling them to better compete for the jobs which the AAP seeks to stimulate in the area.</p>		
En.68	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 76</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 695 1581 730"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 695 1317 730">Size</td> <td data-bbox="1317 695 1581 730">4.2 hectares</td> </tr> </table>	Size	4.2 hectares
Size	4.2 hectares				
En.69	APC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA2	<p><b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 17</u></b></p> <p>Amend the Implementation text for Policy BTCSSA2 as follows:</p> <p>The site is in a single private ownership and the development will be brought forward and developed in by the private sector.</p> <p>The Council and LTGDC are working with TfL to secure the funding for <del>and</del> the implementation of the Barking to Royal Docks Bus Corridor scheme which passes through the <u>Fresh Wharf</u> site and which is essential to its development along the lines set out in this <u>site Allocation</u>. There will be a need for Section 106 contributions from the developer towards the cost of BRDT provision and particularly the funding for the public transport bridge across the river.</p>		

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.70	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 78</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to include reference to the above riverside walk in Policy BTCSSA2 under the Design Requirements as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>It provides a pedestrian and cycle route alongside the river</u></li> </ul>
En.71	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA2	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 79</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA2 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>
En.72	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA2 Last paragraph of RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 81</u></b></p> <p>'The site is very important in terms of realising the Council's ambition of the creation of a continuous riverside walk from the millpond at the north down to Handtrough Creek at the south (see pPolicy BTC21). It currently <del>provides forms</del> a barrier to those wishing to travel north south along the river walk and there is currently no access to the river along the site. Any scheme must over come this by the provision of a pedestrian and cycle route alongside the river as well as a pedestrian and cycle bridge linking the development to the Sharleston Wharf part of the Cultural Industries Quarter and from there with Abbey <del>g</del>Green and the <del>t</del>Town <del>e</del>Centre.-</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.73	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA3 4 <sup>th</sup> bullet point of Design Requirements	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 82</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enhances the public realm and provides <u>strong &amp; and</u> attractive arrival to the Town Centre.</li> </ul>
En.74	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA3 Design Requirements	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 83</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to add the following bullet point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Promotes and intensifies retail, employment, leisure and residential uses and opportunities for mixed-use development.</u></li> </ul>
En.75	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA3	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 86</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA3 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough-wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.76	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA4	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 89</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA4 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>
En.77	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA5 Implementation	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 90</u></b></p> <p><del>The Axe Street Drugs Project will be relocated. Initial negotiations have taken place over purchase of the Captain Cook Public House and associated car park but, if necessary, the Council is willing to utilise its Compulsory Purchase Powers to acquire it. Alternatively, the Freehold proprietors of the pub may be invited to be a development partner, bringing the land and additional investment to the partnership.</del></p>
En.78	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA5 Proposed Uses	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 91</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to clarify the 'Proposed Uses' section as follows:</p> <p>A mixed use development comprising <del>the re-provision of an improved</del> <u>improvements to the</u> Abbey Sports Centre together with commercial and leisure uses such as a cinema, new homes and a multi-storey Town Centre car park.</p>
En.79	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA5	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 92</u></b></p> <p>Captain Cook Public House &amp; <u>and</u> car park, the Axe Street Drugs</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
		5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point of Design Requirements	Project, Sure Start premises, Abbey Sports Centre and the temporary Wellington Street surface car park.
En.80	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA5	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 93</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA5 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>
En.81	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA5 Proposed Uses	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 94</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to clarify the fourth paragraph of the Reasoned Justification as follows:</p> <p>The re-provision of the Abbey Sports Centre <u>improvements</u> will be planned to accommodate facilities and services which meet the growing demand from existing population and from the additional residents which will be granted by the levels of housing proposed in the AAP area.</p>
En.82	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA6 Existing Uses	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 96</u></b></p> <p>Council housing estate of some 2,500 dwellings (predominately flats and including medium &amp; <u>and</u> high rise blocks) built between 1966 &amp; <u>and</u> 1971.</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes		
En.83	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA6	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 98</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA6 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</li> </ul>		
En.84	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA6 Second to last paragraph RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 99</u></b></p> <p>Format the entire paragraph in Ariel, non-bold font.</p>		
En.85	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 100</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 1082 1581 1118"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1082 1317 1118">Size</td> <td data-bbox="1317 1082 1581 1118">3.6 ha hectares</td> </tr> </table>	Size	3.6 ha hectares
Size	3.6 ha hectares				
En.86	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7 Indicative Capacity	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 101</u></b></p> <p>'...A scheme that does include a Superstore would replace the number of homes on the site itself...'</p>		

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.87	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7 Implementation	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 102</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to update the text as follows:</p> <p>The whole of the site is in private sector ownership. <del>and it is expected that the owners will, in 2009, the owners produced</del> a masterplan for the site which reflecteds the land uses and design requirements of this allocation.</p>
En.88	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 104</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to clarify the fourth bullet point of BTCSSA7 'Design Requirements' as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improves pedestrian and cyclist access from the Town Centre, across Abbey Green and along the River Roding, <del>enabling this may include</del> enabling the provision of a new bridge across the River Roding.</li> </ul>
En.89	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 105</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA5 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.90	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7 4 <sup>th</sup> bullet point of 5 <sup>th</sup> paragraph of RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 106</u></b></p> <p>'The site enjoys convenient access to Abbey Green, the Barking Abbey Ruins Scheduled Ancient Monument as well as the 2 <u>two</u> Conservation Areas...'</p>
En.91	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA7 Last paragraph of RJ	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 107</u></b></p> <p>In order to ensure that any proposal, whether for the Abbey Retail Park site alone or the 2 <u>two</u> sites together, will not have unacceptable traffic impacts on the strategic and /or local highway network, the Council will require any proposals to be supported by a Traffic Impact Assessment.</p>
En.92	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA8 Timescale	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 108</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to update the text as follows:</p> <p>The detailed design for the improvement scheme will emerge from an International Design Competition funded by Design for London. <del>and to be</del> <u>This was</u> undertaken via the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU) in 2009.</p> <p>Implementation of individual elements of the eventual scheme will be phased, linked to the securing of funding to undertake them.</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.93	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA9	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 109</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Advertised Proposed Change 24</u></b></p> <p>The Council propose to amend the 'Implementation' section as follows:</p> <p>LTGDC commissioned the River Roding Master Plan (<u>September 2008</u>) and a planning application for the majority of the site was submitted in November 2008. The Corporation has also acquired (either by negotiation or compulsory purchase) a number of pieces of land to implement the scheme.</p> <p>LTGDC are currently looking to identify and then appoint private sector partner(s) to undertake the development and to provide the ongoing management of the <u>cultural Industries Quarter</u>.</p> <p>The Council and LTGDC are working with TfL to secure the funding for and the Barking to Royal Docks Bus Corridor scheme which passes through the site and which is essential to its development along the lines set out in this Allocation. <u>The route is depicted on the inset Proposals Map and will be safeguarded for the duration of the Plan period.</u> There will be a need for Section 106 contributions from the developer towards the cost of the BRDT provision and particularly the funding for the public transport bridge across the river. There will be a need for Section 106 contributions from the developer towards the cost of BRDBC provision and particularly the funding for the public transport bridge across the river.</p> <p>The planning application <del>submitted by LTGDC</del> <u>approved in May 2009</u> does not cover the whole of the Site Specific Allocation as they <del>LTGDC</del> were unable to reach agreement about the inclusion of the site of Sharleston Wharf to the north. This element of the Site Specific</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes		
			Allocation including the pedestrian bridge will be implemented independently and is, again, expected to be delivered by 2012.		
En.94	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA9	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 110</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA9 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</li> </ul>		
En.95	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA10	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 112</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA10 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</li> </ul>		
En.96	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA11	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 113</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1048 1249 1581 1315"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1048 1249 1317 1315">Size</td> <td data-bbox="1317 1249 1581 1315"><u>2.67 hectares</u></td> </tr> </table>	Size	<u>2.67 hectares</u>
Size	<u>2.67 hectares</u>				

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.97	FPC	Chapter 7 BTCSSA11	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 114</u></b></p> <p>The Council proposes to remove reference to Policy BR1 and Policy BR2 from the Site Allocation BTCSSA11 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Meets the Environmental Building Standards and Energy requirements for a Strategic Development as set out in policies BR1 and BR2 of the Borough wide Development Policies</del></li> </ul>
En.98	FPC	Chapter 8	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 115</u></b></p> <p>Format the titles 'Implementation' and 'Monitoring' in Ariel Bold font.</p>
En.99	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 119</u></b></p> <p>The listed policies should be formatted as follows:</p> <p>Policy BTC1: Additional Shopping Floorspace</p> <p>and not:</p> <p>Policy BTC 1: Additional Shopping Floorspace</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.100	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 120</u></b></p> <p>All bullet points should start with a capitalised first word.</p>
En.101	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 121</u></b></p> <p>Any reference to the following terms throughout the AAP should be capitalised in the final document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Major Town Centre</li> <li>• Development Plan Document(s)</li> <li>• Town Centre (when referring to Barking Town Centre)</li> <li>• Borough (when referring to the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham)</li> <li>• Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>• Conservation Area(s)</li> <li>• Council (when referring to the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham)</li> <li>• Policy (when referring to a specific policy)</li> <li>• Listed Building</li> <li>• Locally Listed Building</li> <li>• Abbey Green</li> <li>• Fresh Wharf Estate</li> <li>• Cultural Industries Quarter</li> </ul>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
En.102	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 122</u></b></p> <p>Any reference to the following terms throughout the AAP should be expressed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Off-street-parking</li> <li>• Multi-storey</li> <li>• sq. m.</li> </ul>
En.103	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 123</u></b></p> <p>Where the Council refers to a PPS in the first instance the reference will be expressed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning Policy Statement X (PPSX)</li> </ul> <p>Thereafter the policy will be referred to in its abbreviated form.</p>
En.104	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 124</u></b></p> <p>Where the Council refers the reader to another policy in the AAP this should be formatted as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (BTCSSA9)</li> </ul> <p>and not:</p>

Reference	Origin of Change	Paragraph, Page, Policy, Chapter, Or Topic	Endorsed Changes
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(see BTCSSA9)</li> </ul>
En.105	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 125</u></b></p> <p>When the Council refers to Barking &amp; Dagenham this should be expressed as follows:</p> <p>Barking and Dagenham</p>
En.106	FPC	Whole Document	<p><b><u>Further Proposed Change 126</u></b></p> <p>Borough Wide Development Policies should read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough Wide Development Policies</li> </ul> <p>and not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide Development Policies</li> </ul>